

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 remain in this application. New claim 21 is added above. Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Another information disclosure statement is being submitted together with this Reply. New replacement drawing sheets, including appropriate legends, are also submitted herewith.

A new abstract of the disclosure, of appropriate length, is supplied by way of this Reply.

The claim amendments above are made following consideration of the comments provided by the Examiner in sections 5, 8, and 9 on pages 3-5 of the Office Action. It should be noted that, while the acronym "TSID" is no longer used in the claims, that acronym refers to an error case identifier; this is apparent, for example, from the paragraphs spanning pages 8 and 9 and the first full paragraph on page 9 of the specification originally filed in this application. It is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now in this application are in proper form and comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112.

The comments provided by the Examiner in section 6 on page 4 of the Office Action are noted with appreciation. Claims 2, 7, 19, and 20 are rewritten in the manner suggested and should now be allowable. Claims 8, 9, 11, 14, and 18, which depend on claim 2, should be allowable as well.

Independent claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), along with certain dependent claims, as unpatentable over U.S. Patent 7,228,211 to Lowrey et al. Reconsideration is requested. While the cursory reference to XML provided in lines 18-23 in column 11 of the Lowrey et al. patent is noted, and

while the discussion of claim 1 provided by the Examiner on pages 6-7 of the Office Action is noted, nothing identified by the Examiner suggests that the Lowrey et al. device includes an interface with (1) a data converter that converts an initial data packet into XML structure and saves an XML data file based on a converter configuration, (2) a data completion unit that analyzes data of that XML data file, reads out additional data, and saves additional data in the XML data file after conversion as specified, and (3) a visualization of saved XML elements as claim 1 particularly defines. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) are in order and are requested. The rejection of claims 3-6, 10, 12, 13, and 15-17, which depend on claim 1, should also be withdrawn.


It is respectfully submitted that claims 1, 3-6, 10, 12, 13, and 15-17 are patentable, along with claims 2, 7-9, 11, 14, and 18-20, for reasons discussed. New claim 21 depends on claim 1 and should additionally be patentable. All of the claims now in this application, therefore, should be patentable.

This application should now be in allowable condition. If there are any questions regarding this Reply or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for an extension of time sufficient to effect a timely response. Please charge any deficiency in fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 05-1323 (Docket #095309.57061US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 28, 2008



Richard R. Diefendorf
Registration No. 32,390

CROWELL & MORING LLP
Intellectual Property Group
P.O. Box 14300
Washington, DC 20044-4300
Telephone No.: (202) 624-2500
Facsimile No.: (202) 628-8844
RRD:rd